

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/728,423		12/01/2000	Michael Houghton	1618.003	3252
27476	7590	06/03/2003			
Chiron Co			EXAMINER		
Intellectual P.O. Box 8	097		HILL, MYRON G		
Emeryville	Emeryville, CA 94662-8097			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1648	9
				DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/728,423	HOUGHTON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Myron G. Hill	1648
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) M6 tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	2 January 2003 .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims	owance except for formal m er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1- 27</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	lrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1- 27</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac		
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	inn minimum des 25 H C C	S 440(-) (d) (f)
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C	. 9 119(a)-(d) of (l).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	anta hawa haan saasiyad	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a I 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language (15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to paper# 8.

Claims 1-27 are under consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 27 is drawn to a further step in the method of claim 1 which is drawn to eliciting an immune response to HCV E2 or E1E2 that is not secreted by immunizing with *nucleic acid* coding for immunogen. Claim 27 adds a subsequent immunization (or boost) with the *protein* encoded by the polynucleotide of claim 1.

The specification does not convey that the use of a polypeptide boost was done.

Pages 31- 41 discuss the immunizing with DNA or polypeptides but not the combination as claimed. The specification does not provide any examples that show that "boosting" with the polypeptide encoded by the polynucleotide used to inoculate the subject is

Art Unit: 1648

better or produces unexpected results or is different than boosting with the polynucleotide, which is known in the art, see Forns below.

While an original claim may provide support, there is no written description in the specification that indicates that this was the way in which the method was reduced to practice.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1- 5, 10, 14, 15, and 17- 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishi.

Ishi discloses eliciting an immune response against an HCV E2 antigen a polynucleotide encoding E1E2 or full length E2 that is on the cell surface and is not secreted by means of HCV virions from transfusions or needle sticks and discloses NOB titers that meet the limitations of the claims (see Methods and Table 1).

Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14- 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Forns.

Art Unit: 1648

Forns discloses a polynucleotide that encodes a E2 protein with and without P7 that can be used for eliciting an immune response to HCV E2. Forns also teaches delivery by microparticle, repeating the administration of polynucleotide encoding the protein, and that the polynucleotide is in a plasmid (Figure 1, page 1995, Figure 3, Figure 4, and Table 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 9, 11, 13, and 18- 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forns.

Forns as discussed above teaches eliciting an immune response against a HCV E2 antigen by administering a polynucleotide encoding the antigen.

The polynucleotides of Forns, while not identical in length, contain the epitopes that give rise to NOB antibodies and thus would have similar function in the eliciting of antibodies in an immune response.

Forns discloses that antibodies reactive to E2 were isolated (Table 1).

Knowing the construct of Forns contains all of E2, one of skill in the art would know that it contains the epitopes that give rise to NOB antibodies and it would have been within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art to assay the antibodies of Forns for

Art Unit: 1648

NOB antibodies such as with the method as disclosed in Ishi (*supra*, page 118, Column 1, last full paragraph).

The use of agents to prepare the site for DNA inoculations is known in the art, such as cardiotoxin (see Nielson, *AMPIS* 1998) as well as various methods to prepare DNA for inoculation, including different forms of microparticles and related preparations.

In the art of vaccination and immunization studies, boosting with antigen is known, as done in Forns, above.

Thus, it would have been prima facie obvious to detect the NOB antibodies in the method of Forns with the assay of Ishi or administer the polynucleotide in different formulations with the expectation of success.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1648

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Myron G. Hill whose telephone number is 703-308-4521. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 703-308-4247. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Myron G. Hill

Patent Examiner

May 23, 2003

JAMES HOUSEL GOOS

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600